

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/563,610	Applicant(s) ESKELINEN, EERO
	Examiner ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL	Art Unit 2167

All Participants:

(1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL

(2) Shahid Alam

Date of Interview: 22 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiners and attorney discussed proposed claim amendments to overcome rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101. Attorney accepted changed proposed by examiners for claims 3 and 31.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexandria Bromell/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2167
 September 25, 2010

Status of Application: pending

(3) Naphtali Mattis, Reg. No. 61,592.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:30pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)